

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

GEORGE W. THOMAS, JR.,	<b>§</b>
	§
Plaintiff,	<b>§</b>
	§
<b>v.</b>	§ CIVIL ACTION NO: 1:19-CV-196
	§
JANE DOE 1, et al.,	§
	<b>§</b>
Defendants.	§

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, the District Court referred this matter to the undersigned for pre trial management and entry of recommended disposition. On May 4, 2020, Judge Giblin entered his report and recommendation (#6) recommending that the District Court *sua sponte* dismiss the Complaint for want of prosecution. The Court agrees with the magistrate judge's findings and conclusions and adopts the report and recommendation.

Plaintiff George W. Thomas, proceeding *pro se*, filed a motion for a new trial (#8), which the Court will interpret as his objection to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.<sup>1</sup> The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The deadline to files an objection expired on May 21, 2020. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for a new trial would not be a timely objection, but because it is the only way in which Plaintiff responded to the report, the Court will nevertheless consider the motion.

Court has reviewed Plaintiff's objections, to the extent they exist, de novo in relation to the

pleadings, the record, and the applicable law. See FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); see also 28 U.S.C. §

636(b). After careful consideration, the Court concludes that the objections are without merit.

As an initial matter, the Court does not find that Plaintiff made a specific objection to any

portion of the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. Indeed, the following is the entirety

of Plaintiff's objection to the report and recommendation: "Petitioner is a U.S. Citizen and has the

Right to be Heard. Plus I was denied Due Process and several constitutional and civil rights maybe

because of the network of people involved." (#8). In his brief objection to the report and

recommendation, Plaintiff does not address the magistrate judge's findings that he had failed to

diligently prosecute his claim, and he presents no reasoning explaining his failure to continue

litigating his case.

Based on Plaintiff's failure to properly object to the magistrate judge's report and

recommendation, the Court adopts Judge Giblin's findings and legal conclusions as the findings and

conclusions of the Court.

The Court therefore **ORDERS** that Judge Giblin's Report and Recommendation (#6) is

adopted. It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED** sua sponte, without

prejudice. Additionally, the Court **ORDERS** that the pending motion in this case (#8) is **DENIED** 

as moot. This case is **CLOSED**, and this constitutes a final judgment for appeal purposes.

SIGNED at Beaumont, Texas, this 15th day of July, 2020.

MARCIA A. CRONE

Maria a. Crone

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2